

**Proceedings of the State Environmental Clearance Committee (SECC)  
Meeting held on 15.12.2012 under the Chairmanship of the Principal  
Secretary to Government, Forest, Ecology and Environment Department**

\* \* \* \* \*

**Members present:** As in the Annexure

The Principal Secretary to the Government, Forest, Ecology and Environment Department and the Chairman of the SECC welcomed the members of the Committee to the meeting and the agenda was taken for discussion.

**Agenda 1: Confirmation of the proceedings of the SECC meeting held on 07.08.2012**

The meeting Proceedings of the Committee were confirmed as no comments were received on the proceedings.

**Agenda 2: Action taken report on the proceedings of the SECC meeting held on 07.08.2012**

The Action taken report was noted. Non furnishing of compliance to CFO conditions issued by KSPCB was noted and directed to furnish the compliance in juxtaposition at the earliest. Member Secretary, KSPCB agreed to furnish within 10 days from the date of meeting.

**Agenda 3: Subjects for Reconsideration**

**Agenda No.3.1:- M/s. Pragati Steel Udyog Pvt. Ltd., (FEE 107 ECO 2011)**

M/s. Pragati Steel Udyog Pvt. Ltd., have submitted an application for renewal of environmental clearance issued vide No. FEE 303 ECO 2005 dated: 12.12.2005 for establishment of 30,000 TPA capacity sponge iron and dolochar of 7560 TPA as a by-product manufacturing unit at Sy. No. 34/4C, 37/A & 37/B, Horovanahalli Village, Hospet Taluk, Bellary District. The period of environmental clearance validity was 5 years and now it has expired.

Ministry of Environment and Forests, GoI has issued O.M with regard to integrated steel plants and sponge iron plants, which are linked to iron ore as raw material from mining operations in the Districts of Bellary, Tumkur and Chitradurga in Karnataka.

The content of OM issued by MoEF is as follows:

The Hon'ble Supreme Court vide its order dated 29.07.2011 and 26.08.2011 have banned mining operations in the Districts of Bellary, Tumkur and Chitradurga in the State of Karnataka. In view of the same, and to comply with the said orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, it has been decided that all those projects received for environmental clearance in MoEF/SEIAAs relating to integrated steel plants and sponge iron plants, which are largely dependent on iron ore as raw material to be sourced from the mines located in Districts of Bellary, Tumkur and Chitradurga in Karnataka and are at different stages of consideration/processing will be delisted. Those projects which have not yet been placed before the Expert Appraisal Committee (EAC)/State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC) will be returned.

Further, it has also been decided that MoEF/SEIAAs will not consider any proposal relating to integrated steel plant/sponge iron plant, which are linked to iron ore as a raw material to be obtained from these Districts till the Hon'ble Supreme Court lifts the ban on mining in these Districts. Accordingly, the prospective project proponents are advised not to submit any such proposal for environmental clearance till such time the ban is lifted.

The proponent has submitted compliance report for the conditions imposed in the environmental clearance, but, has not submitted the supportive documents (photos/layout plan/landscape plan etc.) along with the compliance report.

The project proponent present in the meeting held on 27.01.2012. explained the project. After deliberation, the Committee decided to issue environmental clearance subject to submission of compliance of EC and CFO issued to the project in juxta position with required supporting documents.

Project Proponent in response to Government letter vide dated 24.02.2012 has submitted the compliance of EC and CFO on 09.03.2012 but compliance furnished were not specific and there was no supporting documents for the conditions stipulated in the CFO issued by KSPCB. The Project Proponent was called upon to show cause as to why Government shall not refuse the Environment Clearance issued in the absence of compliance of the stipulated conditions vide letter dated 15.05.2012.

Project Proponent vide their letter dated 03.06.2012 have furnished the explanation/ reasons for non-compliance of certain conditions, which are as follows.

1. Factory is very small and factory area is also very small. Operating only one kiln (i.e. only 50% of the capacity) due to non-availability of iron ore in the District of the Bellary. Iron is procured through e- Auction, with full payment in advance and started second kiln since 29<sup>th</sup> May 2012. All the Air monitoring test reports of every month are being submitted to R.O. Bellary.
2. Unable to get inter locking facility for the working of kiln and ESP. Run ESP as long as kilns runs and prepared to shut down the plant, if ESP is not working.
3. The Fly ash is stored in the safe place and there is no market, placed an order for brick making machine to make bricks using the same and will start using the Fly ash produced to make bricks, once the equipment is received. Production of bricks is likely to start in mid June.
4. Dry fog dust suppression system is being provided.

The subject was placed before the Committee meeting held on 07.08.2012. The Committee observed that the conditions imposed by the KSPCB in consent to operate have not been fully complied with. It is the duty of the industry to comply with the conditions and KSPCB must confirm the compliance of the same.

Sri. M. D.N. Simha, CEO, KSPCB informed that industry has been summoned for personal hearing and extent of compliance to the CFO conditions will be submitted. The Committee after deliberation decided to reconsider the proposal after obtaining present

status of the compliance from the KSPCB to the conditions imposed in the CFO and environmental clearance.

KSPCB vide letter dated 05.10.2012 had informed, that the Regional Officer, KSPCB Bellary had inspected the industry on 19.04.2012. It was decided to issue the consent by the Board for the period up to 30.06.2013 after obtaining the time bound commitment letter from the industry stating that, the industry will rectify the non-compliance within four months. The industry compliance with respect to the consent conditions will be revived. After four months based on the compliance, further course of action will be taken up.

The inspection report submitted by KSPCB was examined, After deliberation the committee informed the project proponent present that the proposal submitted, does not come under purview the State Environment Clearance Committee, as it attracts EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 issued by MoEF, New Delhi. The committee decided to issue an endorsement to the project proponent to approach the concerned competent authority for Environment Clearance i.e. SEIAA, Karnataka.

**Agenda: 3.2:- M/s. Global Industries Unit-2., (FEE 79 ECO 2012)**

M/s. Global Industries Unit-2., have submitted the application for environmental clearance, for new industry to manufacture (Only blending and mixing) paints products (Water based) of capacity 565 MT/Month, Latex products-750 KL/Month, Paste- 225 MT/month and Epoxy products – 112 MT/month at Sy. No. 235, 237, 239, Plot No. 156 Bommasandra Village, Jigani Hobli, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore Urban with an investment of ₹. 1370 Lakhs. The KSPCB has issued CFE on 11.06.2012.

The proponent present in the meeting held on 07.08.2012, explained the project. The proponent explained that the paint products proposed is basically blending and mixing, but no manufacturing is involved. The proponent informed that there are no wastes generated during blending and mixing. After deliberation, the Committee decided to reconsider the proposal after obtaining present status of compliance from the KSPCB to the conditions imposed in CFO issued for the Unit -I.

KSPCB vide letter dated 20.10.2012 had informed that Unit-I was inspected & observed that, industry is engaged in manufacturing of construction chemicals of capacity 20,000 MT/annum. project proponent provided septic tank & soak pit for domestic effluents & provided acoustics & chimney of height 3m ARL for 125 KVA D.G. Set. Further project proponent provided individual chimney of 3m ARL with dust collector for blenders as per consent conditions. The consent issued to the industry is valid upto 30.09.2012 & renewal application for the period ending 30.09.2014 is under consideration.

The SECC examined the Inspection report of M/s. Global Unit- I submitted by KSPCB and felt that, the opinion furnished by KSPCB is not clear regarding whether the EC and CFO conditions are complied with. Mr. KumaraSwamy, CEO of KSPCB was

asked to furnish a detailed compliance report to the CFO conditions. After deliberation the Committee decided to reconsider the proposal after obtaining the present status of compliance point by point from the KSPCB to the conditions imposed in the CFO issued earlier for unit-I. KSPCB informed that they will submit a revised compliance report within 10 days.

**Agenda: 3.3:- M/s. A.O Smith India Water Heating Pvt. Ltd.,( FEE 72 ECO 2012)**

M/s. A.O Smith India Water Heating Pvt. Ltd., have submitted an application for environmental clearance for expansion of existing industry to increase the production capacity of Domestic and Commercial Water Heaters from 4, 00,000 Nos/ annum to 8, 00,000 Nos/annum apart from manufacture of water purifiers of capacity 15, 00,000 Nos/annum in the existing premises at Plot No. 300, Phase II, KIADB Industrial Area Harohalli, Kanakapura Taluk Ramanagara District with an investment of ₹. 132.27 Crores. The KSPCB has issued CFE on 24.07.2012.

The proponent present in the meeting held on 07.08.2012 explained the project. The domestic effluent will be treated in the existing 55 KLD STP and industrial effluent will be treated in the existing 185 KLD ETP (batch treatment). Treated waste water will be reused for gardening & flushing inside the industry premises. The raw material will be obtained from M/s. Polynite, Bangalore. The Committee advised the proponent that the empty barrels/containers should be washed and then disposed to the recyclers.

The Committee noted that the proponent have applied two separate applications for expansion and installation of PUF line to the Department as they have obtained separate CFEs from the KSPCB on two different dates.

After deliberation, the Committee decided to reconsider the proposal after obtaining present status of compliance from the KSPCB to the conditions imposed in CFO issued for the existing plant and submission of the following information from the project proponent:

1. Composition of rejected ISO cyanide waste and its disposal.
2. Standards and principles adopted by the parent industry in USA.
3. Commitment letter to adopt the standards and principles of the parent industry to the proposed industry.
4. Extended producers responsibility in collection of unused/discarded water purifier.
5. Contribution of Rs. 1 Lakh to Bannerghatta National Park as committed by the project proponent.

KSPCB vide letter dated 07.11.2012 had informed that the earlier CFO has expired on 30.06.2012. Further CFO is not granted. Industry generated 21 KLD of industrial effluent and 22 KLD of domestic effluent. Project Proponent provided ETP & STP to treat the effluents. The treated effluents is meeting the standards prescribed by the Board and applied on land for gardening. With respect to expansion there is no additional generation of traded effluent from the process. The industry is filing the Cess

returns regularly and has obtained the Authorization under Hazardous Waste (Management, Handling & Trans boundary Movement) Rules, 2008.

Project proponent vide letter dated 26.10.2012 informed that, the Spent foam components (ISO & resin) are reacted and handled as non hazardous waste via landfill disposal. Alternate is fuel blend or chemical incineration without reaction to remove reactive character. The procedures followed by parent company in USA are as follows.

- a) Consent for establishment : Not required in USA
- b) Consent for Operation: Not required in USA.
- c) Environmental Clearance: Not required in USA.
- d) Onsite STP for treatment/recycle of sewage: Not required in USA. Our USA plant discharge sewage to local municipality owned waste water treatment plant without need for a permit or pre-treatment.
- e) Onsite ETP for treatment/recycle of trade effluent: Discharge limits for zinc, chromium, lead, nickel are regulated in USA. However, only zinc is used in Indian operation. Applicable limit for zinc is <5mg/litre for discharge following treatment.
- f) Used hydraulic oil/engine oil (non-hazardous): Sent for recycling or reused had secondary fuel at boilers or cement kilns.
- g) ETP solid waste (non-hazardous): Sludge from glass treatment is sent to either and industrial or municipal landfill.
- h) PUF foam(non-hazardous): Sent to municipal or special waste landfill.
- i) Electronic waste: Sent to qualified electronic waste recycling companies.
- j) Empty barrels/containers (non-hazardous): Generally returned to vendors; alternative is container recycling firms.
- k) Used paint brush & other waste (generally non-hazardous); Sent for fuel blending or landfill; last option is chemical incineration.
- l) Hand gloves, brushes etc (generally non-hazardous): Sent for fuel blending or landfill; last option is chemical incineration.
- m) DG Set emissions: Not regulated but stack will be generally 2-4 mtr above roof or equipment height.
- n) Washer emission: Not regulated but stack will be generally 2-4 mtr above roof or equipment height.
- o) Drier emissions; Not regulated but stack will be generally 2-4 mtr above roof or equipment height

Furnace (LPG fired) emissions: Not regulated but stack will be generally 2-4 mtr above roof or equipment height.

Project proponent is agreeable to adopt the standards and principles of the parent company to the proposed industry, if so permitted by KSPCB, SECC & EP Act-Gol. As explained vide (2) above, the prevailing practices in USA (RCRA & EPA) are different from those imposed for our Indian operation

Water Purifier manufacturing is proposed under the expansion application. We are agreeable for extended producers responsibility in collection of unused/discarded

water purifier during warranty period & for those customers who enter into AMC after warranty period.

Such collected items will be scientifically disposed-off

The Committee examined the compliance report submitted by the KSPCB and the status report of the parent company submitted by the project proponent in detail. The project proponent informed that the hazardous wastes are disposed to the authorised agencies approved by the KSPCB. The Chairman asked the Member Secretary why CFO is not issued and how industry is functioning without CFO and further asked to inspect and furnish a detailed report regarding the quantity and quality land fillable waste and ETP sludge generated by the project and ascertain quantity given to the authorised agencies. KSPCB informed that they will submit the report within 10 days.

**Agenda: 3.4:- M/S. Microtrol Sterilization Services Pvt. Ltd., (FEE 51 ECO 2012)**

M/s. Microtrol Sterilisation Services pvt. Ltd, have submitted the application for environmental clearance for expansion of existing industry to sterilize medical goods of capacity 17,500 MT/annum by using ethylene oxide in addition, the existing Gamma Radiation process for dry sterilization of goods in the existing premises at Plot No. 14, Bommasandra – Jigani Link Road, Industrial Area, KIADB, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore with an investment of Rs. 4,99,94,124. The KSPCB has issued CFE on 29.02.2012.

The proponent present in the meeting held on 07.08.2012 had explained the project. The proponent informed the present proposal pertains to sterilisation of medical goods- 17,500 MTPA using ethylene oxide. The proponent informed that there are no hazardous wastes generated. The Committee desired to obtain present status of compliance to the conditions imposed in CFO issued earlier. After deliberation, the Committee decided to reconsider the proposal after obtaining present status of compliance from the KSPCB to the conditions imposed in CFO issued earlier.

KSPCB vide letter dated 08.11.2012 had informed that the Industry is not using water for the industrial process and hence there is no trade effluent generation. The domestic sewage generated from the industry is being discharged in to septic tank and soak pit. PP have also installed required pollution control equipments for all the Air pollution sources. Industry is generally complied with consent condition.

The Chairman of the Committee felt that the compliance report furnished by KSPCB is general nature. KSPCB had informed vide letter dated 08.11.2012 that the industry is generally complied with conditions imposed in CFO and further the report states Industry is not using water for the industrial process and hence there is no trade effluent generation. The project proponent present in the meeting informed that water is sprinkled on Ethyl oxide- gas and the waste water collected in the collection tank are disposed off once in 3 months. The Chairman asked the Member Secretary, KSPCB to initiate disciplinary proceedings against the concerned officer who has furnished wrong information that water is not used by the industry to the Committee. The KSPCB

informed that they will submit compliance report regarding the CFO conditions complied with by the industry within 10 days. The committee decided to reconsider the proposal after obtaining the detailed report from KSPCB.

### **Agenda: 3.5:- M/s. A.O Smith India Water Heating Pvt. Ltd., FEE 52 ECO 2012**

M/s. A.O Smith India Water Heating Pvt. Ltd., have submitted the application for environmental clearance for expansion of existing industry to install PUF line without increasing the consented production capacity of 400,000 Units/Annum at Plot No. 300, Phase II, KIADB Industrial Area Harohalli (V) & (P), Kanakapura Taluk Ramanagara District with an investment of Rs. 4.5 Crores. The KSPCB has issued CFE on 19.04.2012.

The proponent present in the SECC meeting held on 07.08.2012 explained the project. The Committee noted that the proponent have applied two separate applications for expansion and installation of PUF line to the Department as they have obtained separate CFEs from the KSPCB on two different dates.

After deliberation, the Committee decided to reconsider the proposal after obtaining present status of compliance from the KSPCB to the conditions imposed in CFO issued for the existing plant.

The Committee noted that the proponent have applied two separate applications for expansion and installation of PUF line to the Department as they have obtained separate CFEs from the KSPCB on two different dates.

After deliberation, the Committee decided to reconsider the proposal after obtaining present status of compliance from the KSPCB to the conditions imposed in CFO issued for the existing plant and submission of the following information from the project proponent:

1. Composition of rejected ISO cyanide waste and its disposal.
2. Standards and principles adopted by the parent industry in USA.
3. Commitment letter to adopt the standards and principles of the parent industry to the proposed industry.
4. Extended producers responsibility in collection of unused/discarded water purifier.
5. Contribution of Rs. 1 Lakh to Bannerghatta National Park as committed by the project proponent.

KSPCB vide letter dated 07.11.2012 had informed that The earlier CFO has expired on 30.06.2012. Further CFO is not granted. Industry generated 21 KLD of industrial effluent and 22 KLD of domestic effluent. Project Proponent provided ETP & STP to treat the effluents. The treated effluents is meeting the standards prescribed by the Board and applied on land for gardening. With respect to expansion there is no additional generation of traded effluent from the process. The industry is filing the Cess returns regularly and has obtained the Authorization under Hazardous Waste (Management, Handling & Trans boundary Movement) Rules, 2008.

Project proponent vide letter dated 26.10.2012 informed that, the Spent foam components (ISO & resin) are reacted and handled as non hazardous waste via landfill disposal. Alternate is fuel blend or chemical incineration without reaction to remove reactive character.

- a) Consent for establishment : Not required in USA
- b) Consent for Operation: Not required in USA.
- c) Environmental Clearance: Not required in USA.
- d) Onsite STP for treatment/recycle of sewage: Not required in USA. Our USA plant discharge sewage to local municipality owned waste water treatment plant without need for a permit or pre-treatment.
- e) Onsite ETP for treatment/recycle of trade effluent: Discharge limits for zinc, chromium, lead, nickel are regulated in USA. However, only zinc is used in Indian operation. Applicable limit for zinc is <5mg/litre for discharge following treatment.
- f) Used hydraulic oil/engine oil (non-hazardous): Sent for recycling or reused had secondary fuel at boilers or cement kilns.
- g) ETP solid waste (non-hazardous): Sludge from glass treatment is sent to either and industrial or municipal landfill.
- h) PUF foam(non-hazardous): Sent to municipal or special waste landfill.
- i) Electronic waste: Sent to qualified electronic waste recycling companies.
- j) Empty barrels/containers (non-hazardous): Generally returned to vendors; alternative is container recycling firms.
- k) Used paint brush & other waste (generally non-hazardous); Sent for fuel blending or landfill; last option is chemical incineration.
- l) Hand gloves, brushes etc (generally non-hazardous): Sent for fuel blending or landfill; last option is chemical incineration.
- m) DG Set emissions: Not regulated but stack will be generally 2-4 mtr above roof or equipment height.
- n) Washer emission: Not regulated but stack will be generally 2-4 mtr above roof or equipment height.
- o) Drier emissions; Not regulated but stack will be generally 2-4 mtr above roof or equipment height

Furnace (LPG fired) emissions: Not regulated but stack will be generally 2-4 mtr above roof or equipment height.

Project proponent is agreeable to adopt the standards and principles of the parent company to the proposed industry, if so permitted by KSPCB, SECC & EP Act-Gol. As explained vide (2) above, the prevailing practices in USA (RCRA & EPA) are different from those imposed for our Indian operation

Water Purifier manufacturing is proposed under the expansion application. We are agreeable for extended producers responsibility in collection of unused/discarded water purifier during warranty period & for those customers who enter into AMX after warranty period.



Such collected items will be scientifically disposed-off

The Committee examined the compliance report submitted by the KSPCB and the status report of the parent company submitted by the project proponent in detail. The project proponent informed that the hazardous wastes are disposed to the authorised dealers of KSPCB. The Chairman asked the Member Secretary, KSPCB to inspect and furnish a detailed report regarding the quantity and quality land fillable waste and ETP sludge generated by the project and quantity given to the authorised agencies. KSPCB informed that they will submit the report within 2 days.

**Agenda: 3.6:- M/s. Rishabh Gold Jewels India (P) Ltd., (FEE 105 ECO 2011)**

M/s. Rishabh Gold Jewels India Pvt. Ltd., have submitted the application for environmental clearance for establishment of new industry to carryout Refining and Recovery of Gold of capacity 500 Kgs/Month and Silver of Capacity 200 Kgs/Month at Plot No. 122, Sompura Industrial Area, 1st Stage, Near Dobaspet, Nelamangala Taluk, Bangalore with an investment of Rs.835 Lakhs. The KSPCB has issued CFE on 07.05.2011.

The proposal was placed in the SECC meeting held on 12.04.2012. The project proponent present in the meeting explained the project. The Committee observed that the present proposal is falling in the T. G. halli catchment area, which supplies drinking water to Bangalore and the process involves Aqua Regia, a mix of HCL & HNO<sub>3</sub> to dissolve the metal and treatment & safe disposal of effluent is an issue which needs to be critically examined in consideration of the environmental clearance to the project.

After deliberation, the Committee decided to obtain complete details of the process involved and safe disposal of effluents including precautionary measures proposed to avoid any possible leakage of acids/waste to the ecosystem and then to decided the issue of environmental clearance.

The project proponent vide letter dated 04.07.2012 has furnished the manufacturing process details and water balance chart.

The proponent present in the SECC meeting held on 07.08.2012 explained the project. Considering the sensitivity of the area, the Committee desired that industry shall have insitu facility for treatment of effluent and to furnish an action plan for the same. The Committee decided to reconsider the proposal after obtaining an insitu treatment plan for the effluents generated from the project proponent. The KSPCB was instructed to inspect and furnish the report regarding the location of the proposed plant and to approve the scheme of treatment of effluent

KSPCB vide letter dated 19.11.2012 had submitted the inspection details which are as follows;

Activity	Refining and recovery of Gold and Silver
Location details	Designated Industrial Estate Falls under Zone-1 of TGR area: Notification restricts as follows; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prohibit over exploitation of Ground water</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Disposed Solid &amp; Liquid waste in scientific manner.</li> <li>• Adopt rain water harvesting system.</li> </ul>		
Possible Pollution: Water pollution	Trade effluent	Process Washing & Scrubbed Liquid	Physicochemical treatment plant OR MoU with CETP's
	Domestic effluent:		Septic tank & Soak Pit
Air Pollution	Emission From: Process	Chimneys with air pollution control equipment	
	Melting Furnace		
	Reaction section		
	Gold & Silver making section		
	DG Set		
Hazardous Waste	Metallic Sludge	To TSDF	
Present status of the project	Proposed plot is vacant and project is yet to be conceived.		

The project proponent present explained the project. The proponent explained that it is not viable to have insitu treatment plant of their own, as the quantity of waste water generated is very less. So they propose to upload the same to the authorised agencies. The committee examined the inspection report submitted by the KSPCB and found it is not properly drafted. The Chairman instructed the Member Secretary, KSPCB to initiate disciplinary proceedings against the officer who has not furnished the proper report and further informed that only Member Secretary of KSPCB should sign all correspondence letters to the Government. It was decided to recall the project proponent after obtaining the detailed report from KSPCB. It was directed to Special Director to obtain a copy of MoU between proponent and M/s. Eco Green Solution Systems Pvt. Ltd., and also write to KSPCB to get the comments on documents furnished by the proponent.

**Agenda: 3.7; - M/s. Resil Chemicals Pvt. Ltd., (FEE 48 ECO 2012)**

M/s. Resil Chemicals Pvt. Ltd., have submitted the application for environmental clearance for manufacturing of silicon based fluids of 135 MTPM, silicon based lubricant oils of 156 MTPM and formulation of textile finishing agent of 420 MTPM. at Unit –III, No. 53-57, Phase IV, KIADB Bommasandra Industrial Area, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore – 099. The KSPCB has issued CFE on 2008 & CFO on 2010.

The proponent present in the meeting held on 07.08.2012 explained the project. The proponent informed that the industry started working from 2010 with a valid CFO. The Committee noted that the industry has commenced without obtaining environmental clearance from the Department. The proponent informed that they have other 2 industries at K. R. Puram with an investment of less than Rs. 5 Crores each. The Committee noted that the sludge of 6 TPA is being sent to TSDF-Dobbaspeta.

After deliberation, the Committee decided to recall the proponent after obtaining present status of compliance from the KSPCB to the conditions imposed in CFO issued

for the existing units at K.R.Puram and submission of the following information from the project proponent:

1. Composition and finger prints of the CETP sludge and its disposal.
2. Quantity of hazardous waste stored at unit I, II and III and plan for disposal.
3. Greenbelt details along with photographs and
4. Social commitment plan with budget, timeframe and activity.

KSPCB vide letter dated 08.11.2012 has informed that the waste water generated during washing is collected 10 KL capacity plastic collection tank and is same handed over to Board approved CETP viz., M/s. Pai & Pai Chemicals for further treatment and disposal. The domestic sewage generated from the industry is being discharged to septic tank and soak pit. They have also installed required pollution control equipments for all the Air pollution sources except for the new sources for which they are yet to install the equipment.

After deliberation, the Committee decided to recall the project proponent after obtaining an opinion from the KSPCB regarding the details furnished by the project proponent vide letter dated 30.08.2012 with respect to Composition and finger prints of the CETP sludge and its disposal and Quantity of hazardous waste stored at unit I & II and plan for disposal. The KSPCB was asked to furnish the report. The Chairman noted that the CFO expired on 30.06.2012 and inspection was carried out by KSPCB after the CFO expiry date i.e. on 31.07.2012

### **Agenda: 3.8:- M/s. Aron Universal Ltd. (FEE 58 ECO 2012)**

M/s. Aron Universal Ltd., have submitted the application for environmental clearance, for the first time, for expansion of existing industry for increase in production of Fluorescent pigment from the existing 113.75 Ton/Month to 150 Ton/month and Ink concentrate from 5 Ton/month to 25 Ton/month and also to manufacture additional products namely pigment dispersion fluorescent of capacity 25 Ton/annum and Pigment dispersion non-fluorescent of capacity 16.7 Ton/month at Sy. No. 25/1, Jigani Industrial Area, Bangalore with an investment of Rs. 10, 85, 39,031. The KSPCB has issued CFE on 06.02.2012 the total built up area is 54,385 Sq.ft. source of water is tankers hazardous waste generated are Used Oil -0.4 KL, Oil Waste 0.1 MT, MS Barrels, HDPE Barrels, LINERS -0.5 MT they are disposed to authorised recycler M/s. Arun Industries, Peenya, Bangalore M/s. Mahalazmi Enterprises, & Incinerator.

The proponent present in the SECC meeting held on 07.08.2012 explained the project. The proponent informed that the effluent generated will be sent to M/s. Pai & Pai CETP at Bidadi Industrial Area once in a month through tankers for treatment and disposal which is located 26 km away from the industry. The Committee felt it is not a feasible and advisable to sent effluents at such a distance. The proponent was asked to explore in house treatment by establishing a suitable ETP. After deliberation, the Committee decided to recall the proponent after obtaining present status of compliance from the KSPCB to the conditions imposed in CFO issued earlier.

KSPCB vide letter dated 08.11.2012 had informed stated that the waste water generated during washing is collected 10 KL capacity plastic collection tank and is same handed over to Board approved CETP viz., M/s. Pai & Pai Chemicals for further treatment and disposal. The domestic sewage generated from the industry is being discharged to septic tank and soak pit. They have also installed required pollution control equipments for all the Air pollution sources except for the new sources for which they are yet to install the equipment

The project proponent present in the meeting explained the project. The project proponent informed that they have 40 KLD concrete tank for effluent collection and the effluent collected are sent to M/s. Pai & Pai and the cotton waste and liners are sent to the incinerators.

The inspection report furnished by KSPCB says that the industry is using plastic collection tank of 10 KLD capacities, but the project proponent present informed that they have 40 KLD concrete tank for effluent collection. The Chairman of the Committee asked the Member Secretary, KSPCB to issue show cause notice to the concerned Regional Officer who has furnished the inspection/compliance report. The KSPCB has agreed to furnish the report within 10 days. The committee decided to recall the project proponent after obtaining a detailed report KSPCB including compliance to CFO in juxtaposition. Also directed to furnish compliance to CFO conditions of exiting industry.

#### **Agenda: 4:- CONSIDERATION OF NEW PROJECTS FOR ENVIRONMENT CLEARANCE**

##### **Agenda: 4.1; - M/s. Confident Dental Equipments Ltd., (FEE 21 ECO 2012)**

M/s. Confident Dental Equipments Ltd., have submitted the application for environmental clearance for establishment of new industry to manufacture Dental Equipments, Hospital Equipments and related accessories of capacity 7500 Nos./Annum at Plot No. 17 H, Sector-1, Phase-2, Bidadi Industrial Area, Ramanagara Taluk & District with an investment of ₹. 2452 Lakhs. Source of water is bore well only. The KSPCB has issued CFE on 14.12.2011.

The proponent present explained the project. The proponent informed that the Hazardous Wastes to be generated are Phosphating bath Sludge – 2.0 MT, Oil bearing Cotton - 0.25 MT, Waste Used Oil - 0.5 KL, Oil filters- 20 Nos. Paint Residues/Sludge - 0.6 MT Discarded containers - 500 Nos. the hazardous waste are to be collected and store in the designated place and disposed to TSDF.

The project proponent was advised to wash the discarded containers before handing over to the authorized agencies. After deliberation, the Committee decided to issue environmental clearance to the project with the following conditions:

#### **I) The project proponent shall abide by the following conditions:**

1. Strictly follow the CFE/CFO conditions issued by the KSPCB.

2. Strictly follow the conditions of on-site emergency plan approved by the Department of Factories & Boilers.
3. Dispose solid waste in a scientific manner without causing underground and surface water pollution directly or indirectly.
4. Adopt rainwater harvesting in the industry within the next 6 months and submit compliance report.
5. Earmark minimum 33% of the project land for green belt and afforest with tree species like: Neem, Alstonia scholaris, Saraca indica, Acacia auriculiformis, Mahogany, Madhuka indica, Silver oak, Pongamia, Simaruba glauca etc within 6 months and submit compliance report.
6. Scientifically dispose the wastes generated in the project such as waste gear/lubricating oil, batteries etc., strictly as per rules.
7. Provide sanitary facilities such as sufficient number of toilets/bathrooms/septic tank/soak pits for the employees of the project.
8. Comply with all the environmental protection measures incorporated in the EMP.
9. Obtain all other statutory clearances /permissions required to be taken by the company.
10. Submit six monthly compliance report to these conditions to the Department without fail.
11. The project proponent should display the conditions prominently at the entrance of the project on a suitable size board for the information of the public.
12. The project proponent shall also maintain the road from project site to main road.
13. The project proponent shall earmark at least 5% of the total cost of the project towards further corporate social responsibility and item wise details along with the time bound action plan shall be prepared and submitted to the Government.

## **II). General Conditions:**

1. The Department of Environment and Ecology, Government of Karnataka reserves the right to withdraw this EC subject to any change in the policy by the Government.
2. The Department of Environment and Ecology, Government of Karnataka and the Regional Office, KSPCB will monitor the implementation of the stipulated conditions. A complete set of documents including the Environment Management Plan along with the additional information submitted from time to time shall be forwarded to the Regional Office for their use during monitoring.
3. The Karnataka State Pollution Control Board should display a copy of the clearance letter at the Regional office, District Industry Centre and Deputy Commissioner's office/ Tahsildar's Office for 30 days.
4. In case of any deviation or alteration in the project proposal from that of the proposal submitted for EC, a fresh reference shall be made to this Department to assess the adequacy of the condition(s) imposed and to incorporate additional environmental protection measures required, if any.
5. The project authorities should advertise at least in two local newspapers widely circulated in the State, one of which shall be in the vernacular language of the

locality concerned, within 7 days of the issue of the EC letter informing that the project has been accorded EC and a copy of the clearance letter is available with the State Pollution Control Board.

6. The above stipulations shall be enforced along with other relevant laws as under, the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981, the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, The Manufacture, Storage and Import of Hazardous Chemical Rules, 1989, Hazardous Wastes (Management and Handling) Rules, 1989, the Public Liability Insurance Act, 1991 and rules there under.
7. The Department of Environment and Ecology, Government of Karnataka reserves the right to revoke the clearance if conditions stipulated are not implemented to the satisfaction of the Department.
8. Fugitive dust emission from all the sources shall be controlled regularly. Water spraying arrangement on haul roads, loading and unloading and at transfer points shall be provided and properly maintained.
9. Measures shall be taken for control of noise levels below 85 dBA in the work environment.
10. Personnel working in dusty areas shall be provided with protective respiratory devices and they shall also be imparted adequate training and information on safety and health aspects.
11. The Ecology and Environment Department or any other competent authority may alter/modify the above conditions or stipulate any further condition in the interest of environment protection.
12. Concealing factual data or submission of false/fabricated data and failure to comply with any of the conditions mentioned above may result in withdrawal of this clearance and attract action under the provisions of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.
13. The issuance of Environment Clearance doesn't confer any right to the project proponent to operate/run the project without obtaining Statutory clearances/sanctions from all other concerned authorities.
14. The Environmental clearance shall be valid and would require amendments only in case of changes relating to process, operation, product or capacity. If these are envisaged then proponent shall apply afresh and obtain environmental clearance.

**Agenda: 4.2:- M/s. TUV Rheinland India Pvt. Limited., (FEE 90 ECO 2012)**

M/s. TUV Rheinland India Pvt. Limited., have submitted the application for environmental clearance for existing of Photovoltaic Modules Testing Laboratory for solar panels. Materials (Metals, Polymers, petroleum products, food, water soil, agricultural products etc) Testing Laboratory at No. 17B, Electronic City, Phase-2 Hosur Road, Bangalore. with an investment of Rs. 15.20 Crores. The KSPCB has issued CFE on 18.06.2012.

The proponent present explained the project. The proponent informed that, 103 chemicals are being used in the project for testing purpose, but not all at the same time. The spent chemicals of about 600 lts/day are sent to M/s. Pai & Pai for final disposal. After deliberation, the Committee decided to issue environmental clearance to the project with the following conditions:

**I) The project proponent shall abide by the following conditions:**

1. Strictly follow the CFE/CFO conditions issued by the KSPCB.
2. Strictly follow the conditions of on-site emergency plan approved by the Department of Factories & Boilers.
3. Dispose solid waste in a scientific manner without causing underground and surface water pollution directly or indirectly.
4. Adopt rainwater harvesting in the industry within the next 6 months and submit compliance report.
5. Earmark minimum 33% of the project land for green belt and afforest with tree species like: Neem, Alstonia scholaris, Saraca indica, Acacia auriculiformis, Mahogany, Madhuka indica, Silver oak, Pongamia, Simaruba glauca etc within 6 months and submit compliance report.
6. Scientifically dispose the wastes generated in the project such as waste gear/lubricating oil, batteries etc., strictly as per rules.
7. Provide sanitary facilities such as sufficient number of toilets/bathrooms/septic tank/soak pits for the employees of the project.
8. Comply with all the environmental protection measures incorporated in the EMP.
9. Obtain all other statutory clearances /permissions required to be taken by the company.
10. Submit six monthly compliance report to these conditions to the Department without fail.
11. The project proponent should display the conditions prominently at the entrance of the project on a suitable size board for the information of the public.
12. The project proponent shall also maintain the road from project site to main road.
13. The project proponent shall earmark at least 5% of the total cost of the project towards further corporate social responsibility and item wise details along with the time bound action plan shall be prepared and submitted to the Government.

**II). General Conditions:**

1. The Department of Environment and Ecology, Government of Karnataka reserves the right to withdraw this EC subject to any change in the policy by the Government.
2. The Department of Environment and Ecology, Government of Karnataka and the Regional Office, KSPCB will monitor the implementation of the stipulated conditions. A complete set of documents including the Environment Management Plan along with the additional information submitted from time to time shall be forwarded to the Regional Office for their use during monitoring.

3. The Karnataka State Pollution Control Board should display a copy of the clearance letter at the Regional office, District Industry Centre and Deputy Commissioner's office/ Tahsildar's Office for 30 days.
4. In case of any deviation or alteration in the project proposal from that of the proposal submitted for EC, a fresh reference shall be made to this Department to assess the adequacy of the condition(s) imposed and to incorporate additional environmental protection measures required, if any.
5. The project authorities should advertise at least in two local newspapers widely circulated in the State, one of which shall be in the vernacular language of the locality concerned, within 7 days of the issue of the EC letter informing that the project has been accorded EC and a copy of the clearance letter is available with the State Pollution Control Board.
6. The above stipulations shall be enforced along with other relevant laws as under, the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981, the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, The Manufacture, Storage and Import of Hazardous Chemical Rules, 1989, Hazardous Wastes (Management and Handling) Rules, 1989, the Public Liability Insurance Act, 1991 and rules there under.
7. The Department of Environment and Ecology, Government of Karnataka reserves the right to revoke the clearance if conditions stipulated are not implemented to the satisfaction of the Department.
8. Fugitive dust emission from all the sources shall be controlled regularly. Water spraying arrangement on haul roads, loading and unloading and at transfer points shall be provided and properly maintained.
9. Measures shall be taken for control of noise levels below 85 dBA in the work environment.
10. Personnel working in dusty areas shall be provided with protective respiratory devices and they shall also be imparted adequate training and information on safety and health aspects.
11. The Ecology and Environment Department or any other competent authority may alter/modify the above conditions or stipulate any further condition in the interest of environment protection.
12. Concealing factual data or submission of false/fabricated data and failure to comply with any of the conditions mentioned above may result in withdrawal of this clearance and attract action under the provisions of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.
13. The issuance of Environment Clearance doesn't confer any right to the project proponent to operate/run the project without obtaining Statutory clearances/sanctions from all other concerned authorities.
14. The Environmental clearance shall be valid and would require amendments only in case of changes relating to process, operation, product or capacity. If these are envisaged then proponent shall apply afresh and obtain environmental clearance.



**Agenda: 4.3:- M/s. Rising Sun Power Pvt. Ltd., (FEE 121 ECO 2012)**

M/s. Rising Sun Power Pvt. Ltd., have submitted the application for environmental clearance, for Mini Hydel Power plant at Sy. No. 41/3, Bannikuppe Village, Karagoudanadoddi, Jakkasandra Cross, Horohalli Hobli, Kanakapura Taluk, Ramanagara Distirct with an investment of 1210.76 Lakhs. The KSPCB has issued CFE on 12.09.2012.

The proponent present explained the project. The proponent informed that no forest land, land is involved in the project and nearly 9 months in a year power can be generated at the proposed site. After deliberation, the Committee decided to issue environmental clearance to the project with the following conditions after obtaining NoC from the forest department by the project proponent.

**I) The project proponent shall abide by the following conditions:**

1. Strictly follow the CFE/CFO conditions issued by the KSPCB.
2. Strictly follow the conditions of on-site emergency plan approved by the Department of Factories & Boilers.
3. Dispose solid waste in a scientific manner without causing underground and surface water pollution directly or indirectly.
4. Adopt rainwater harvesting in the industry within the next 6 months and submit compliance report.
5. Earmark minimum 33% of the project land for green belt and afforest with tree species like: Neem, Alstonia scholaris, Saraca indica, Acacia auriculiformis, Mahogany, Madhuka indica, Silver oak, Pongamia, Simaruba glauca etc within 6 months and submit compliance report.
6. Scientifically dispose the wastes generated in the project such as waste gear/lubricating oil, batteries etc., strictly as per rules.
7. Provide sanitary facilities such as sufficient number of toilets/bathrooms/septic tank/soak pits for the employees of the project.
8. Comply with all the environmental protection measures incorporated in the EMP.
9. Obtain all other statutory clearances /permissions required to be taken by the company.
10. Submit six monthly compliance report to these conditions to the Department without fail.
11. The project proponent should display the conditions prominently at the entrance of the project on a suitable size board for the information of the public.
12. The project proponent shall also maintain the road from project site to main road.
13. Project should not be established in the forest area, incase if the transmission line, powerhouse of the project passes through the forest land, the project proponent should obtain permission from the forest department, before the commencement of the project. The project proponent should also obtain permission from KPTCL for the proposed evocation scheme of 2 MW.

14. The project proponent shall earmark at least 5% of the total cost of the project towards further corporate social responsibility and item wise details along with the time bound action plan shall be prepared and submitted to the Government.

## **II). General Conditions:**

1. The Department of Environment and Ecology, Government of Karnataka reserves the right to withdraw this EC subject to any change in the policy by the Government.
2. The Department of Environment and Ecology, Government of Karnataka and the Regional Office, KSPCB will monitor the implementation of the stipulated conditions. A complete set of documents including the Environment Management Plan along with the additional information submitted from time to time shall be forwarded to the Regional Office for their use during monitoring.
3. The Karnataka State Pollution Control Board should display a copy of the clearance letter at the Regional office, District Industry Centre and Deputy Commissioner's office/ Tahsildar's Office for 30 days.
4. In case of any deviation or alteration in the project proposal from that of the proposal submitted for EC, a fresh reference shall be made to this Department to assess the adequacy of the condition(s) imposed and to incorporate additional environmental protection measures required, if any.
5. The project authorities should advertise at least in two local newspapers widely circulated in the State, one of which shall be in the vernacular language of the locality concerned, within 7 days of the issue of the EC letter informing that the project has been accorded EC and a copy of the clearance letter is available with the State Pollution Control Board.
6. The above stipulations shall be enforced along with other relevant laws as under, the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981, the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, The Manufacture, Storage and Import of Hazardous Chemical Rules, 1989, Hazardous Wastes (Management and Handling) Rules, 1989, the Public Liability Insurance Act, 1991 and rules there under.
7. The Department of Environment and Ecology, Government of Karnataka reserves the right to revoke the clearance if conditions stipulated are not implemented to the satisfaction of the Department.
8. Fugitive dust emission from all the sources shall be controlled regularly. Water spraying arrangement on haul roads, loading and unloading and at transfer points shall be provided and properly maintained.
9. Measures shall be taken for control of noise levels below 85 dBA in the work environment.
10. Personnel working in dusty areas shall be provided with protective respiratory devices and they shall also be imparted adequate training and information on safety and health aspects.

11. The Ecology and Environment Department or any other competent authority may alter/modify the above conditions or stipulate any further condition in the interest of environment protection.
12. Concealing factual data or submission of false/fabricated data and failure to comply with any of the conditions mentioned above may result in withdrawal of this clearance and attract action under the provisions of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.
13. The issuance of Environment Clearance doesn't confer any right to the project proponent to operate/run the project without obtaining Statutory clearances/sanctions from all other concerned authorities.
14. The Environmental clearance shall be valid and would require amendments only in case of changes relating to process, operation, product or capacity. If these are envisaged then proponent shall apply afresh and obtain environmental clearance.

**Agenda: 4.4:- M/S. INDO SCHOTTLE Auto Parts Private Limited (FEE 96 ECO 2012)**

M/S. INDO SCHOTTLE Auto Parts Private Limited have submitted the application for environmental clearance for Establishment of new industry to manufacture Automotive components and Fuel injection Parts & Turbocharger Parts of 50 Million numbers/month at No. 84/C, 69 Part & 70 Part, Sy. No. 536, KOADB Honaga Ondustrial Area, Honaga Village, Taluk & District Belagavi with an investment of ₹. 23.41 Crores. The KSPCB has issued CFE on 11.07.2012.

The proponent present explained the project. The proponent informed that the inorganic sludge from ETP are sent to TSDF at Dabbaspeta and organic sludge from ETP are used as manure within the premises. After deliberation, the Committee decided to issue environmental clearance to the project with the following conditions:

**I) The project proponent shall abide by the following conditions:**

1. Strictly follow the CFE/CFO conditions issued by the KSPCB.
2. Strictly follow the conditions of on-site emergency plan approved by the Department of Factories & Boilers.
3. Dispose solid waste in a scientific manner without causing underground and surface water pollution directly or indirectly.
4. Adopt rainwater harvesting in the industry within the next 6 months and submit compliance report.
5. Earmark minimum 33% of the project land for green belt and afforest with tree species like: Neem, Alstonia scholaris, Saraca indica, Acacia auriculiformis, Mahogany, Madhuka indica, Silver oak, Pongamia, Simaruba glauca etc within 6 months and submit compliance report.
6. Scientifically dispose the wastes generated in the project such as waste gear/lubricating oil, batteries etc., strictly as per rules.
7. Provide sanitary facilities such as sufficient number of toilets/bathrooms/septic tank/soak pits for the employees of the project.

8. Comply with all the environmental protection measures incorporated in the EMP.
9. Obtain all other statutory clearances /permissions required to be taken by the company.
10. Submit six monthly compliance report to these conditions to the Department without fail.
11. The project proponent should display the conditions prominently at the entrance of the project on a suitable size board for the information of the public.
12. The project proponent shall also maintain the road from project site to main road.
13. The project proponent shall earmark at least 5% of the total cost of the project towards further corporate social responsibility and item wise details along with the time bound action plan shall be prepared and submitted to the Government.

## **II). General Conditions:**

1. The Department of Environment and Ecology, Government of Karnataka reserves the right to withdraw this EC subject to any change in the policy by the Government.
2. The Department of Environment and Ecology, Government of Karnataka and the Regional Office, KSPCB will monitor the implementation of the stipulated conditions. A complete set of documents including the Environment Management Plan along with the additional information submitted from time to time shall be forwarded to the Regional Office for their use during monitoring.
3. The Karnataka State Pollution Control Board should display a copy of the clearance letter at the Regional office, District Industry Centre and Deputy Commissioner's office/ Tahsildar's Office for 30 days.
4. In case of any deviation or alteration in the project proposal from that of the proposal submitted for EC, a fresh reference shall be made to this Department to assess the adequacy of the condition(s) imposed and to incorporate additional environmental protection measures required, if any.
5. The project authorities should advertise at least in two local newspapers widely circulated in the State, one of which shall be in the vernacular language of the locality concerned, within 7 days of the issue of the EC letter informing that the project has been accorded EC and a copy of the clearance letter is available with the State Pollution Control Board.
6. The above stipulations shall be enforced along with other relevant laws as under, the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981, the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, The Manufacture, Storage and Import of Hazardous Chemical Rules, 1989, Hazardous Wastes (Management and Handling) Rules, 1989, the Public Liability Insurance Act, 1991 and rules there under.
7. The Department of Environment and Ecology, Government of Karnataka reserves the right to revoke the clearance if conditions stipulated are not implemented to the satisfaction of the Department.

8. Fugitive dust emission from all the sources shall be controlled regularly. Water spraying arrangement on haul roads, loading and unloading and at transfer points shall be provided and properly maintained.
9. Measures shall be taken for control of noise levels below 85 dBA in the work environment.
10. Personnel working in dusty areas shall be provided with protective respiratory devices and they shall also be imparted adequate training and information on safety and health aspects.
11. The Ecology and Environment Department or any other competent authority may alter/modify the above conditions or stipulate any further condition in the interest of environment protection.
12. Concealing factual data or submission of false/fabricated data and failure to comply with any of the conditions mentioned above may result in withdrawal of this clearance and attract action under the provisions of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.
13. The issuance of Environment Clearance doesn't confer any right to the project proponent to operate/run the project without obtaining Statutory clearances/sanctions from all other concerned authorities.
14. The Environmental clearance shall be valid and would require amendments only in case of changes relating to process, operation, product or capacity. If these are envisaged then proponent shall apply afresh and obtain environmental clearance.

**Agenda: 4.5:- M/s. Maa Corp Industries Pvt. Ltd., FEE 134 ECO 2012**

M/s Maa Corp Industries Pvt. Ltd., have submitted the application for environmental clearance for establishment of Pellet Plant & Generation of Power of 0.650 MW at Sy. No.1059, Bellary- 583 102 with an investment of ₹ 1670 Lakhs.

MoEF, O.M. dated 05.10.2011 regarding, Integrated Steel Plants and Sponge Iron Plants, which are linked to iron ore as raw material from mining operations in the Districts of Bellary, Tumkur and Chitradurga in Karnataka. States that the Hon'ble Supreme court vide its order dated 29.7.2011 and 26.08.2011 have banned mining operations in the Districts of Bellary Tumkur and Chitradurga in Karnataka. In view of the same and to comply with the said orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, it has been decided that all those projects received for environmental clearance in MoEF,/SEIAAs relating to integrated steel plants and sponge iron plants which are largely dependent on iron ore as raw material to be sourced from the mines located in Districts of Bellary, Tumkur and Chitradurga in Karnataka and are at different stages of consideration/processing will be delisted. Those projects which have not yet been placed before the Expert Appraisal Committee (EAC)/State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC) will be returned.

Further, it has also been decided that MoEF/SEIAAs will not consider any proposal relating to integrated steel plant/Sponge iron plant, which are linked to iron ore as a raw material to be obtained from these three Districts till the Hon'ble Supreme

Court lifts the ban on mining in these Districts. Accordingly, the prospective project proponents are advised not to submit any such proposal for environmental clearance till such time the ban is lifted.

Project proponent vide letter dated 04.12.2012 had submitted that, they are procuring raw material (Iron Ore) for their industry through e-auction

The proponent present explained the project. After deliberation, the Committee informed the project proponent that the proposal attracts EIA Notification, 2006 wherein the project proposal is listed under primary metallurgical industry and hence proponent is to seek environmental clearance from MoEF, GoI. The Committee decided to issue an endorsement to the project proponent to approach the concerned authorities for the issue of environmental clearance.

Sd/-  
**(SRIDHARAN.R)**  
Principal Secretary to Government  
and the Chairman,  
State Environmental Clearance Committee,  
Forest, Ecology and Environment Department.

File No: FEE 1 ECO 2012

**(G.H. ANASUYAMMA)**  
Under Secretary to Government,  
(Ecology and Environment)  
Forest, Ecology and Environment Department.

## **ANNEXURE**

List of Members present in the meeting of the State Environment Clearance Committee held on 15.12.2012

- 1 Sri. R. Sridharan, I.A.S  
Principal Secretary to Government,  
Forests, Ecology and Environment Department,  
M S Building, Bangalore.
- 2 Sri. M.S.Goudar, I.F.S  
Secretary to Government,  
(Ecology and Environment)  
Forest, Ecology and Environment Department,  
M S Building, Bangalore.
- 3 Sri. H.P.Prakash, I.F.S  
Karnataka State Pollution Control Board No. 49, "Parisar Bhavan"  
Church Street, Bangalore – 560 001.
- 4 Sri. Dr. M. H. Balakrishnaiah,  
Special Director (Technical)  
Forest, Ecology and Environment Department,  
M S Building, Bangalore.
- 5 Sri. Deputy Secretary  
Water Resources Department  
Vikasa Soudha, Bangalore – 560 001.
- 6 Sri. D.A. Veeranna  
Additional Director,  
Dept of Mines and Geology,  
Khanija Bhavan,  
Race Course Road, Bangalore-560 001.
- 7 Sri. P. Hanumantha Reddy  
Assistant Director  
Town Planning Department  
113, Ground Floor, M.S. Building,  
Bangalore – 560 001.
- 8 Sri. Abul Mohsin,  
Deputy Drugs Controller,  
Drug Control Department,  
P.B. No. 533, Place Road,  
Bangalore- 560 001.
9. Sri. R. Raghu,  
Scientific Officer,  
Ecology and Environment Department,  
Bangalore.